# Mean ergodic composition operators in spaces of homogeneous polynomials

Daniel Santacreu Ferrà
Joint work with David Jornet and Pablo Sevilla

Universitat Politècnica de València

V Congreso de Jóvenes Investigadores de la RSME. Universitat Jaume Primer. January 27-31, 2020

Let X be a Banach space.

■ We denote by  $\mathcal{P}(^mX)$  the space of *m*-homogeneous polynomials on X.

Let X be a Banach space.

- We denote by  $\mathcal{P}(^mX)$  the space of *m*-homogeneous polynomials on *X*.
- A map  $p: X \to \mathbb{C}$  is in  $\mathcal{P}(^mX)$  if there exists a continuous m-linear operator  $L: X \times .^m . \times X \to \mathbb{C}$  such that  $L(x, .^m ., x) = p(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Let X be a Banach space.

- We denote by  $\mathcal{P}(^mX)$  the space of *m*-homogeneous polynomials on *X*.
- A map  $p: X \to \mathbb{C}$  is in  $\mathcal{P}(^mX)$  if there exists a continuous m-linear operator  $L: X \times .^m . \times X \to \mathbb{C}$  such that  $L(x, .^m ., x) = p(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .
- Let  $\varphi: X \to X$  be a holomorphic mapping. We denote by  $C_{\varphi}: \mathcal{P}(^mX) \to H(X)$  the composition operator. It is defined by

$$C_{\varphi}(p) = p \circ \varphi.$$

Let X be a Banach space.

- We denote by  $\mathcal{P}(^mX)$  the space of *m*-homogeneous polynomials on *X*.
- A map  $p: X \to \mathbb{C}$  is in  $\mathcal{P}(^mX)$  if there exists a continuous m-linear operator  $L: X \times .^m . \times X \to \mathbb{C}$  such that  $L(x, .^m ., x) = p(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .
- Let  $\varphi: X \to X$  be a holomorphic mapping. We denote by  $C_{\varphi}: \mathcal{P}(^mX) \to H(X)$  the composition operator. It is defined by

$$C_{\varphi}(p) = p \circ \varphi.$$

We consider two topologies:

- $\mathcal{P}(^mX)_{\tau_0}$  is a semi-Montel (=every bounded set is rel. compact) lcHs.
- $P(^mX)_{\|.\|}$  is a Banach space.

#### Aim

Characterise some dynamical properties of  $C_{\varphi}$  in terms of the symbol and find implications between this properties for both topologies in  $\mathcal{P}(^{m}X)$ .

#### Aim

Characterise some dynamical properties of  $C_{\varphi}$  in terms of the symbol and find implications between this properties for both topologies in  $\mathcal{P}(^{m}X)$ .

#### Dynamical properties:

- Power boundedness
- Cesàro boundedness
- Mean ergodicity
- Uniform mean ergodicity

Let E be a lcHs and  $T: E \to E$  and operator.  $T^n$  denote the n-th iterate of T. The Cesàro means of T will be defined by

$$T_{[N]} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} T^n.$$

Let E be a lcHs and  $T: E \to E$  and operator.  $T^n$  denote the n-th iterate of T. The Cesàro means of T will be defined by

$$T_{[N]} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} T^n.$$

Let  $\mathcal{L}(E)$  denote the space of continuous linear operators from E to E.

#### **Definition**

An operator  $T: E \rightarrow E$  is

- Power Bounded:  $\{T^n\}$  is equicontinuous in  $\mathcal{L}(E)$ ,
- Cesàro Bounded:  $\{T_{[N]}\}$  is equicontinuous in  $\mathcal{L}(E)$ ,

Let E be a lcHs and  $T: E \to E$  and operator.  $T^n$  denote the n-th iterate of T. The Cesàro means of T will be defined by

$$T_{[N]} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} T^n.$$

Let  $\mathcal{L}(E)$  denote the space of continuous linear operators from E to E.

#### **Definition**

An operator  $T: E \rightarrow E$  is

- Mean Ergodic (ME):  $\{T_{[N]}\}$  converges in the topology of pointwise convergence of  $\mathcal{L}(E)$  (strong operator topology when E is Banach),
- Uniformly Mean Ergodic (UME):  $\{T_{[N]}\}$  converges in the topology of bounded convergence of  $\mathcal{L}(E)$  (operator norm topology when E is Banach).

#### Proposition (Bonet, Domański)

Let U be a connected domain of holomorphy in  $\mathbb{C}^d$  and let  $\varphi: U \to U$  a holomorphic mapping. T.F.A.E.:

- $oxed{a}$   $C_{arphi}: H(U) 
  ightarrow H(U)$  is power bounded.
- **b**  $C_{\varphi}: H(U) \rightarrow H(U)$  is uniformly mean ergodic.
- $C_{\varphi}: H(U) \to H(U)$  is mean ergodic.
- $\mathbf{d} \varphi$  has stable orbits.

#### Proposition (Bonet, Domański)

Let U be a connected domain of holomorphy in  $\mathbb{C}^d$  and let  $\varphi: U \to U$  a holomorphic mapping. T.F.A.E.:

- **a**  $C_{\varphi}: H(U) \to H(U)$  is power bounded.
- **b**  $C_{\varphi}: H(U) \rightarrow H(U)$  is uniformly mean ergodic.
- $C_{\varphi}: H(U) \to H(U)$  is mean ergodic.
- $\mathbf{d} \ \varphi$  has stable orbits.

 $\varphi$  has stable orbits if for each compact set K the following set is relatively compact

$$\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\varphi^n(K).$$

#### Proposition (Bonet, Domański)

Let U be a connected domain of holomorphy in  $\mathbb{C}^d$  and let  $\varphi: U \to U$  a holomorphic mapping. T.F.A.E.:

- **a**  $C_{\varphi}: H(U) \to H(U)$  is power bounded.
- **b**  $C_{\varphi}: H(U) \to H(U)$  is uniformly mean ergodic.
- $C_{\varphi}: H(U) \to H(U)$  is mean ergodic.
- $\mathbf{d} \varphi$  has stable orbits.

 $\varphi$  has stable orbits if for each compact set K the following set is relatively compact

$$\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\varphi^n(K).$$

<u>Fact:</u> H(U) is Fréchet-Montel, but  $\mathcal{P}(^mX)_{\tau_0}$  is not barrelled and  $\mathcal{P}(^mX)_{\|\cdot\|}$  is not reflexive (Montel) in general.

### Preliminary results

#### **Proposition**

Let  $\varphi: X \to X$  be a holomorphic mapping. The composition operator  $C_{\varphi}: \mathcal{P}(^mX) \to \mathcal{P}(^mX)$  is well defined if and only if  $\varphi$  is linear.

### Preliminary results

#### **Proposition**

Let  $\varphi: X \to X$  be a holomorphic mapping. The composition operator  $C_{\varphi}: \mathcal{P}(^mX) \to \mathcal{P}(^mX)$  is well defined if and only if  $\varphi$  is linear.

#### Remark

The result also holds if we only consider  $\varphi$  continuous.

### Preliminary results

#### **Proposition**

Let  $\varphi: X \to X$  be a holomorphic mapping. The composition operator  $C_{\varphi}: \mathcal{P}(^mX) \to \mathcal{P}(^mX)$  is well defined if and only if  $\varphi$  is linear.

#### Remark

The result also holds if we only consider  $\varphi$  continuous.

#### Remark

The operator  $C_{\varphi}: \mathcal{P}(^{m}X)_{\tau} \to \mathcal{P}(^{m}X)_{\tau}$  is continuous if  $\tau = \tau_{0}$  or  $\|\cdot\|$ .

# $C_{arphi}$ power bounded in $\mathcal{P}(^{m}X)_{ au_{0}}$

#### **Proposition**

Let  $\varphi: X \to X$  be a continuous linear map. Then  $C_{\varphi}: \mathcal{P}(^mX)_{\tau_0} \to \mathcal{P}(^mX)_{\tau_0}$  is power bounded if and only if  $\varphi$  has stable orbits.

# $C_{arphi}$ power bounded in $\mathcal{P}(^{m}X)_{ au_{0}}$

#### **Proposition**

Let  $\varphi: X \to X$  be a continuous linear map. Then  $C_{\varphi}: \mathcal{P}(^mX)_{\tau_0} \to \mathcal{P}(^mX)_{\tau_0}$  is power bounded if and only if  $\varphi$  has stable orbits.

#### Lemma

Let  $K \subseteq X$  be a compact set. Then

$$\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_{\mathcal{P}(^mX)}:=\{x\in X: |p(x)|\leq \sup_{y\in K}|p(y)|, \text{ for all } p\in \mathcal{P}(^mX)\}$$

is compact.

### Power bounded $\Rightarrow$ UME in $\mathcal{P}(^{m}X)_{\tau_0}$

#### Proposition (Bonet, de Pagter, Ricker)

Let E be a semi-Montel IcHs. Then every power bounded operator on E is uniformly mean ergodic.

## Power bounded $\Rightarrow$ UME in $\mathcal{P}(^{m}X)_{\tau_{0}}$

#### **Proposition** (Bonet, de Pagter, Ricker)

Let E be a semi-Montel IcHs. Then every power bounded operator on E is uniformly mean ergodic.

The space  $\mathcal{P}(^{m}X)_{\tau_0}$  is semi-Montel.

### Power bounded $\Rightarrow$ UME in $\mathcal{P}(^{m}X)_{\tau_0}$

#### Proposition (Bonet, de Pagter, Ricker)

Let E be a semi-Montel IcHs. Then every power bounded operator on E is uniformly mean ergodic.

The space  $\mathcal{P}(^{m}X)_{\tau_0}$  is semi-Montel.

#### **Corollary**

Let  $\varphi$  be a continuous linear mapping. If  $C_{\varphi}: \mathcal{P}(^{m}X)_{\tau_{0}} \to \mathcal{P}(^{m}X)_{\tau_{0}}$  is power bounded, then it is uniformly mean ergodic.

# $C_{arphi}$ can be UME and NOT power bounded in $\mathcal{P}(^{m}X)_{ au_{0}}$

#### Theorem (Bermúdez, Bonilla, Müller, Peris)

There exist mean ergodic and mixing operators on  $\ell_p$  for 1 .

Let 0 <  $\alpha$  < 1/p, consider  $\varphi_{\alpha}:\ell_{p}\to\ell_{p}$  defined by

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(x_1,x_2,\ldots)=(w_1x_2,w_2x_3,\ldots),$$

where  $w_k = \left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right)^{\alpha}$ .

## $C_{arphi}$ can be UME and NOT power bounded in $\mathcal{P}(^{m}X)_{ au_{0}}$

#### Theorem (Bermúdez, Bonilla, Müller, Peris)

There exist mean ergodic and mixing operators on  $\ell_p$  for 1 .

Let 0 <  $\alpha$  < 1/p, consider  $\varphi_{\alpha}:\ell_{p}\to\ell_{p}$  defined by

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(x_1,x_2,\ldots)=(w_1x_2,w_2x_3,\ldots),$$

where  $w_k = \left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right)^{\alpha}$ .

#### Example

The composition operator  $C_{\varphi_{\alpha}}: \mathcal{P}(^{1}\ell_{p})_{\tau_{0}} \to \mathcal{P}(^{1}\ell_{p})_{\tau_{0}}$  is uniformly mean ergodic, but not power bounded.

# $C_{\varphi}$ power bounded in $\mathcal{P}(^{m}X)_{\|\cdot\|}$

#### **Proposition**

Let  $\varphi: X \to X$  be a continuous linear map. Then

 $C_{\varphi}: \mathcal{P}(^mX)_{\|\cdot\|} \to \mathcal{P}(^mX)_{\|\cdot\|}$  is power bounded if and only if  $\varphi$  is power bounded.

# $C_{\varphi}$ power bounded in $\mathcal{P}(^{m}X)_{\|\cdot\|}$

#### **Proposition**

Let  $\varphi: X \to X$  be a continuous linear map. Then

 $C_{\varphi}: \mathcal{P}(^mX)_{\|\cdot\|} \to \mathcal{P}(^mX)_{\|\cdot\|}$  is power bounded if and only if  $\varphi$  is power bounded.

#### **Proposition**

Let  $\varphi: X \to X$  be a continuous linear map such that

 $C_{\varphi}: \mathcal{P}(^mX)_{ au_0} o \mathcal{P}(^mX)_{ au_0}$  is power bounded. Then

 $C_{\varphi}: \mathcal{P}(^{m}X)_{\|.\|} \to \mathcal{P}(^{m}X)_{\|.\|}$  is power bounded.

# $C_{\varphi}$ power bounded in $\mathcal{P}(^{m}X)_{\|\cdot\|}$

#### **Proposition**

Let  $\varphi: X \to X$  be a continuous linear map. Then

 $C_{\varphi}: \mathcal{P}(^mX)_{\|\cdot\|} \to \mathcal{P}(^mX)_{\|\cdot\|}$  is power bounded if and only if  $\varphi$  is power bounded.

#### **Proposition**

Let  $\varphi: X \to X$  be a continuous linear map such that

 $C_{\varphi}: \mathcal{P}(^mX)_{\tau_0} \to \mathcal{P}(^mX)_{\tau_0}$  is power bounded. Then

 $C_{\varphi}: \mathcal{P}(^{m}X)_{\|\cdot\|} \to \mathcal{P}(^{m}X)_{\|\cdot\|}$  is power bounded.

The converse is not true in general. One example is  $C_{\varphi}$  on  $\mathcal{P}(^mc_0)$  with the symbol

$$\varphi(x_1,x_2,\ldots)=(0,x_1,x_2,\ldots).$$

# $C_{arphi}$ Cesàro bounded in $\mathcal{P}(^{m}X)_{\|\cdot\|}$

#### Example

Fix  $m \geq 2$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1/m$ . Then  $C_{\varphi_{\alpha}} : \mathcal{P}(^m \ell_m)_{\|\cdot\|} \to \mathcal{P}(^m \ell_m)_{\|\cdot\|}$  is Cesàro bounded but neither power bounded nor mean ergodic.

Where

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(x_1,x_2,\ldots)=(w_1x_2,w_2x_3,\ldots),$$

with 
$$w_k = \left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right)^{\alpha}$$
.

### Power Bounded vs Mean Ergodic on $\mathcal{P}(^{m}X)_{\|\cdot\|}$

Consider the usual backward shift  $\sigma: \ell_m \to \ell_m$ 

#### Example

 $C_{\sigma}: \mathcal{P}(^{m}\ell_{m})_{\|\cdot\|} \to \mathcal{P}(^{m}\ell_{m})_{\|\cdot\|}$  is power bounded but not mean ergodic.

### Power Bounded vs Mean Ergodic on $\mathcal{P}(^{m}X)_{\|\cdot\|}$

Consider the usual backward shift  $\sigma: \ell_m \to \ell_m$ 

#### Example

 $C_{\sigma}: \mathcal{P}({}^{m}\ell_{m})_{\|\cdot\|} \to \mathcal{P}({}^{m}\ell_{m})_{\|\cdot\|}$  is power bounded but not mean ergodic.

Fix  $1 and let <math>0 < \beta < 1/p'$ . Consider  $\psi_{\beta} : \ell_{p} \to \ell_{p}$  defined by

$$\psi_{\beta}(x_1, x_2, \ldots) = (0, w_1x_1, w_2x_2, \ldots),$$

where  $w_k = \left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right)^{\beta}$ .

### Power Bounded vs Mean Ergodic on $\mathcal{P}(^{m}X)_{\|\cdot\|}$

Consider the usual backward shift  $\sigma: \ell_m \to \ell_m$ 

#### Example

 $C_{\sigma}: \mathcal{P}({}^{m}\ell_{m})_{\|\cdot\|} \to \mathcal{P}({}^{m}\ell_{m})_{\|\cdot\|}$  is power bounded but not mean ergodic.

Fix  $1 and let <math>0 < \beta < 1/p'$ . Consider  $\psi_{\beta} : \ell_{p} \to \ell_{p}$  defined by

$$\psi_{\beta}(x_1,x_2,\ldots)=(0,w_1x_1,w_2x_2,\ldots),$$

where  $w_k = \left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right)^{\beta}$ .

#### Example

 $C_{\psi_{\beta}}: \mathcal{P}(^{1}\ell_{p})_{\|\cdot\|} \to \mathcal{P}(^{1}\ell_{p})_{\|\cdot\|}$  is mean ergodic, but not power bounded.

#### M. Maestre

Let  $\varphi: X \to X$  be a continuous mapping. The composition operator  $C_{\varphi}: \mathcal{P}(^mX) \to \mathcal{P}(^mX)$  is well defined if and only if  $\varphi$  is linear?

#### M. Maestre

Let  $\varphi: X \to X$  be a continuous mapping. The composition operator  $C_{\varphi}: \mathcal{P}(^mX) \to \mathcal{P}(^mX)$  is well defined if and only if  $\varphi$  is linear?

#### R. Aron

Let  $\varphi: X \to X$  be a holomorphic mapping. The composition operator  $C_{\varphi}: \mathcal{P}(^mX) \to \mathcal{P}(^{m\cdot 2}X)$  is well defined if and only if  $\varphi$  is a 2-homogeneous polynomial?

What can be said if  $\varphi: X \to Y$ ?

#### Lemma

Let  $\varphi: X \to Y$  be a continuous mapping. If there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\gamma^m \circ \varphi$  is holomorphic for every  $\gamma \in Y^*$ , then  $\varphi$  is holomorphic.

#### Lemma

Let  $\varphi: X \to Y$  be a continuous mapping. If there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\gamma^m \circ \varphi$  is holomorphic for every  $\gamma \in Y^*$ , then  $\varphi$  is holomorphic.

#### **Proposition**

Let  $\varphi: X \to Y$  be a continuous mapping,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $h \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . The composition operator  $C_{\varphi}: \mathcal{P}(^mY) \to \mathcal{P}(^hX)$  is well defined if and only if

- **1**  $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}({}^kX,Y)$ , when  $h = k \cdot m$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , or
- $\varphi \equiv 0$  otherwise.

#### References

- T. Bermúdez, A. Bonilla, V. Müller, and A. Peris. Cesàro bounded operators in Banach spaces. J. Anal. Math., (to appear).
- [2] J. Bonet and P. Domański.

A note on mean ergodic composition operators on spaces of holomorphic functions.

Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM, 105(2):389–396, 2011.

- [3] D. Jornet, D. Santacreu, and P. Sevilla-Peris. Mean ergodic composition operators in spaces of homogeneous polynomials.
  - J. Math. Anal. Appl., 483(1):123582, 2020.